

(22)

Returning to Real Canonical forms
 Recall p.286 $T^* = T$ self-adj \Rightarrow In a ON basis $A = A^T$ \mathbb{R} vector space
 $A = A^H$ \mathbb{C} vector space
 $T^* = T^{-1}$ unitary \Rightarrow In a ON basis $Q^T = Q^{-1}$ \mathbb{R} - Here we call T an "OG operator"
 $U^H = U^{-1}$ \mathbb{C}

Canonical form for Real ON matrices

Thm 13.15 $T: V \rightarrow V$ OG Operator on Real VS

$\Rightarrow \exists$ an ON basis for V where
 T has the canonical form

$$Q \approx \begin{bmatrix} I & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & -I & \\ & & & C_1 & C_2 & C_3 & C_4 \end{bmatrix}, C_i = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_i & -\sin \theta_i \\ \sin \theta_i & \cos \theta_i \end{bmatrix}$$

For example, in 3×3 case

$$Q = \left[\begin{array}{c|cc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & C & -S \\ 0 & S & C \end{array} \right]$$

Pf. I couldn't follow Schur's pf
 So I give this one, very similar
 to Thm 13.14 and Thm 13.16 pf.

Step 1 allow Q to operate in extended domain $\mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{Q} \mathbb{R}^n$ and show we can diagonalize.

We just apply the "Orthog subsp splitting arg" aka key Arg from sheets 16, 17:

We know \exists one EW $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $w_n \in \mathbb{C}^n$ $T w_n = \lambda w_n$ $T^* w_n = T^{-1} w_n = \frac{1}{\lambda} w_n$

Let $W_n := \text{Span}\{w_n\}$. choose $v \in W^\perp$ show $Tv \in W^\perp$ so $T: W^\perp \rightarrow W^\perp$

$$\langle Tv, w_n \rangle = \langle v, T^* w_n \rangle = \langle v, T^{-1} w_n \rangle = \langle v, \frac{1}{\lambda} w_n \rangle = \frac{1}{\lambda} \langle v, w_n \rangle = 0$$

If we have to write this in terms of Q :

$$\langle Qv, w_n \rangle = \langle v, Q^H w_n \rangle = \langle v, Q^{-1} w_n \rangle = \langle v, \frac{1}{\lambda} w_n \rangle = 0$$

$$w_n^H Q v = (Q^H w_n)^H v = (Q^{-1} w_n)^H v = \frac{1}{\lambda} w_n^H v = \frac{1}{\lambda} 0 = 0$$

Then by the same iterative arg of restricting to lower dim \perp subspaces that we used previously, we get $Q \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ w_1, \dots, w_n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ w_1, \dots, w_n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$ so Q is diagonalized over \mathbb{C}^n .

Step 2 Now use this for $Q: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. use the fact Q has all real elts.

We know for any unitary matrix (have O.N.) that every EW satisfies $|\lambda|=1$

That means it lies on unit circle in \mathbb{C}



$$\det(Q - \lambda I) = 0$$

This is a poly with Real coeffs $\lambda^n + c_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + c_1\lambda + c_0 = 0$ If λ is soln, so is $\bar{\lambda}$

$$\text{On the unit circle } \bar{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

• If $\lambda=1$ or $\lambda=-1$, since Q is Real, we'd get EV $\vec{w} = \vec{u} + i\vec{v}$ i.e. $\vec{w} = \vec{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

• If $\lambda = e^{i\theta}$ otherwise, we'd get $\vec{w} = \vec{u} + i\vec{v}$ where $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$

cont'd →

$$\text{If } Aw = \lambda w \text{ then } \overline{Aw} = \overline{\lambda w} \Rightarrow Aw = \bar{\lambda} \bar{w}$$

$$\text{if } w = u + iv \text{ then } \text{conj } \bar{w} = u - iv$$

That means that the result of Step 1 can be written (by re-ordering and re-numbering as nec)

$$Q \begin{bmatrix} w_1 & \dots & w_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} | & | & | & | \\ u_1, \dots, u_{p+q} & w_r, \bar{w}_r, \dots, w_s, \bar{w}_s \\ | & | & | & | \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ & & & \boxed{-1} & \dots & \boxed{-1} \\ & & & & \boxed{\lambda_r} & \boxed{\bar{\lambda}_r} \\ & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & \boxed{\lambda_s} & \boxed{\bar{\lambda}_s} \end{bmatrix}$$

These vectors have no imag component $u_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$

conj pairs $\begin{cases} u_r + iv_r \\ u_r - iv_r \end{cases}$

$p+q+2+2+\dots+2 = n$

$\lambda_r, \bar{\lambda}_r$ block

$\lambda_s, \bar{\lambda}_s$ block

Step 3 Now we want to utilize this to express

$$Q: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \text{ with no reference to anything Complex.}$$

$$\text{For a C-conj pair of EVs } w, \bar{w} \quad w = \vec{u} + i\vec{v} \quad \bar{w} = \vec{u} - i\vec{v}$$

{ $w, \bar{w}\}$ span a 2-dim subsp in \mathbb{C}^n , we will show { $u, v\}$ span 2 dim subsp in \mathbb{R}^n
 and if EV $\lambda = \alpha + i\beta$, we show $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & \bar{\lambda} \end{bmatrix}$ becomes $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & -\beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{bmatrix}$

In fact, $|\lambda| = 1$
 $\alpha = \cos \theta$
 $\beta = \sin \theta$
 for some angle θ

$$Q(\{w, \bar{w}\}) = \{w, \bar{w}\} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & \bar{\lambda} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Qw = Q(u + iv) = (\alpha + i\beta)(u + iv) = \alpha\vec{u} - \beta\vec{v} + i(\alpha\vec{v} + \beta\vec{u})$$

Qu + iQv

switch order to get this std form

$$\text{Re part: } Qu = \alpha u - \beta v \Rightarrow Q(\{v, u\}) = \{v, u\} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & -\beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Im part: } Qv = \beta u + \alpha v$$

This looks good. We'd need to show { $v, u\}$ is L1 over Real scalars
 (which we can do following Hirsch & Smale DEDSALA p.68, written up in 'Systems of ODEs' sheets)

3/12/2016

We'd also need to show $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$ as vectors in \mathbb{R}^n (may be some Gram-Schmidt?)

$$\text{Let } w_r = \vec{u} + i\vec{v} \text{ and } w_s = \vec{f} + i\vec{g}$$

$$\text{a priori } \langle w_r, \bar{w}_r \rangle \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \text{ but that only gives } \langle u + iv, u - iv \rangle = 0$$

This is impossible!
 $|u|^2 = -|v|^2$
 $\Rightarrow \langle u, u \rangle = -\langle v, v \rangle$
 NOT $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$

$$\langle w_r, w_s \rangle \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \Rightarrow \langle u + iv, f + ig \rangle \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \langle u, f \rangle = -\langle v, g \rangle \\ \langle u, g \rangle = \langle v, f \rangle \end{cases} \text{ so I seem to be stuck.}$$

My arg has seemed too good to fail
 because it does give me the correct canonical form!

[Horn & Johnson MA p.105-106
 give a harder and more general pf
 for normal matrices, then trivially
 specialize to Sym and O.N.]