

Linear Functionals and the Dual Space

1

Let V be a vector space over a field of scalars K (think $K = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C})
 Consider linear $\varphi: V \rightarrow K$ this is called a linear functional or linear form or 1-form since vacuously alternating.

example 11.2 $V = \text{polys in } t \text{ over } \mathbb{R}$ (∞ -dim)

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi: V &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ p &\mapsto \int_0^1 p(t) dt\end{aligned}$$

ex 11.3 Let $A = n \times n$ matrix
 Let $\varphi = \text{trace}: GL(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
 $A \mapsto \text{tr}(A)$

Define Dual Sp of V : $V^* := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{all linear functionals} \\ \varphi: V \rightarrow K \end{array} \right\}$ V^* is a v.s. with $(\varphi + \sigma)(v) = \varphi(v) + \sigma(v)$

example 11.4 Let $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ col vectors \vec{x}

Then $V^* = \text{row vectors } \varphi_a = [a_1 \dots a_n] \text{ so } \varphi_a(x) = a^T x$

Thm 11.1 Existence of Dual Basis

Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis for $V \Rightarrow$

- ① we can define linear $\varphi_j: V \rightarrow K$ for $j=1, \dots, n \ni \varphi_j(v_i) = \delta_{ij}$
- ② $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n\}$ is a basis for V^*

Pf. To prove this we need some Lemmas

11.35 Lemma 1: Thm 6.2 Linear Map is determined on basis
 Given basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ for V and n arb vectors u_1, \dots, u_n (not nec distinct!) in v.s. $U \Rightarrow \exists!$ linear $F: V \rightarrow U$
 $\exists F(v_i) = u_i$

Pf. Step 1 show we can define $F \ni F(v_i) = u_i$ for arb $u_i, i=1 \dots n$

Firstly, we know every $v \in V$ can be expressed $v = \sum a_i v_i$

Define F by taking the coeffs $\{\sum a_i\}$ and making a LC with $\{u_i\}$:

$$F(v) := \sum a_i u_i$$

Then if we plug in v_j $F(v_j) = 0u_1 + \dots + 1u_j + \dots + 0u_n = u_j$

Step 2 F is linear because

$$v+w = \sum (a_i+b_i)v_i \text{ so } F(v+w) = \sum (a_i+b_i)u_i = \sum a_i u_i + \sum b_i u_i = F(v) + F(w)$$

Similarly $F(\lambda v) = \lambda F(v)$

Step 3 An F meeting this criteria is unique because

If \exists linear $G \ni F(v_i) = u_i = G(v_i)$ for $i=1 \dots n$, does $F(v) = G(v)$ for any v ?

Yes. Choose arb $v = \sum a_i v_i$

$$G(v) = G\left(\sum a_i v_i\right) = \sum a_i G(v_i) = \sum a_i F(v_i) = F(v) \quad \square$$

Lemma 2 Prob 11.7 $\begin{cases} \text{Fin dim } V \\ \text{nonzero } v \in V \end{cases} \Rightarrow \exists \varphi \in V^* \ni \varphi(v) = 1 \text{ (i.e.)}$

Pf. Extend $\{v\}$ to a basis $\{v=v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$.

Take vs $U := \mathbb{R}$ with $u_1 = 1, u_2 = 0, \dots, u_n = 0$

Apply above Lemma 1 and we get a uniq linear $F: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $F(v_i) = 1$
 $F(v_j) = 0 \quad i > 1$

So to prove ① we rename "F" as φ

We can repeatedly apply Lemma 2 with $u_i = 1$ and generate $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n\}$ with

$$\varphi_i(v_j) = \delta_{ij}$$

cont'd →

(2)

▷ Now we must show $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\}$ is a basis for V^*
 which means we must show that set is LI and spans V^*

Easy to show LI: We need $\sum a_i \phi_i(v) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{each } a_i = 0$

We only need to show (\Rightarrow) Let $\sum a_i \phi_i = 0$

Fix j . Apply both sides to v_j : $\sum a_i \phi_i(v_j) = 0(v_j) = 0$

$$a_j \cdot 1 \Rightarrow a_j = 0$$

To show Span, we need another lemma:

Lemma 3 (Thm 11.3) Given basis $\{v_i\}$ and
 Set of fcns $\{\phi_j\}$ $\exists \phi_j(v_i) = \delta_{ij}$ \Rightarrow

① we can write any $v \in V$ $v = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(v) v_i$ *

② we can write any $\sigma \in V^*$

$$\star \star \quad \sigma = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma(v_i) \phi_i$$

Pf. To see *, observe any $v = \sum a_i v_i = \sum \phi_i(v) v_i$ because

$$\phi_i(v) = \phi_i(a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n) =$$

To see ** choose any $\sigma \in V^*$ $a_1 \cdot 0 + \dots + a_i \cdot 1 + \dots + a_n \cdot 0 = a_i$

$$\text{apply } \sigma \text{ to } \circledast: \sigma(v) = \sigma\left(\sum \phi_i(v) v_i\right) = \sum \phi_i(v) \sigma(v_i)$$

$$= (\sum \sigma(v_i) \phi_i(v)) (v)$$

▷ So this lemma shows $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\}$ spans V^* and we are done!

□

observe this fact:

$\phi: V \rightarrow K$ linear fnl.

Since $\dim(K) = 1$, $\dim(\text{Im}(\phi)) = 1$ (if not 0)

Rank @ Nullity = n $\Rightarrow \text{Nullity}(\phi) = n - 1$

So most of V gets mapped to 0 by any ϕ . Think of ϕ as $[\dots \dots \dots]$ 1x n row vector to also see this.

$$\text{Recall } \{f\} = \{e\} P \\ \Rightarrow [v]_f = P^{-1}[v]_e$$

Thm 11.3 How C.O.B. in V induces C.O.B. in Dual basis for V^*

Let $\{e\}$ and $\{f\}$ be bases for V

$$\{f\} = \{e\} P$$

Let $\{e^*\}$ and $\{f^*\}$ denote corresponding dual bases

$$\{f^*\} = \{e^*\}(P^{-1})^T$$

Thus the co-ord vectors would be

$$[\phi]_{f^*} = P^T [\phi]_{e^*}$$

see co-ord charts diagram below

pf. Let's say $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\} = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_1^{(1)} & p_2^{(1)} & p_3^{(1)} \\ p_1^{(2)} & p_2^{(2)} & p_3^{(2)} \\ p_1^{(3)} & p_2^{(3)} & p_3^{(3)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fix } i \\ f_i &= e_1 p_i^{(1)} + e_2 p_i^{(2)} + e_3 p_i^{(3)} \\ f_i &= \sum_j e_j p_i^{(j)} \end{aligned}$$

since $\{f^*\}$ is dual basis to $\{f\}$, $f_k^*(f_i) = \delta_{ik}$ i still fixed

Expressing f_k^* in basis $\{e^*\}$:

$$\delta_{ik} = [a_k b_k c_k] \begin{bmatrix} e_1^*(.) \\ e_2^*(.) \\ e_3^*(.) \end{bmatrix} = [a_k b_k c_k] \begin{bmatrix} p_i^{(1)} \\ p_i^{(2)} \\ p_i^{(3)} \end{bmatrix} = \delta_{ik} \Rightarrow [a_k b_k c_k] \text{ must be the } i^{\text{th}} \text{ row of } P^{-1}$$

co-ord charts

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \alpha_{e_1} & V & \alpha_{e_3} \\ \mathbb{R}^n & \xrightarrow{P^{-1}} & \mathbb{R}^n \\ [v]_e & \xrightarrow{} & [v]_f \end{array}$$

△ 2nd Dual Space V^{**}

V^* is a vs itself so it can also have a dual V^{**}

For each $v \in V$, we can define "pt evaluation func" $\hat{v}: V^* \rightarrow K$
 $\phi \mapsto \phi(v)$

δ_v is linear: $\delta_v(a\phi + b\sigma) = a\phi(v) + b\sigma(v) = a\delta_v(\phi) + b\delta_v(\sigma)$

Thm 11.4 V fin dim \Rightarrow The map $g_f: V \rightarrow V^{**}$ is an iso

For abo linear sp X g_f is never onto but always linear and On-to-One
So X^{**} is isomorphically (and isometrically if nls) embedded in X

See books on
Functional Analysis
Usually X is n.l.s or
Banach
cf Cheney App notes,
Simmons ITAMA p.231

△ Annihilators

Let W be any subset of V

Let $\phi \in V^*$ and $\phi(w) = 0 \forall w \in W$

ϕ 'annihilates' W

better W^0

$$W^0 := \left\{ \phi \in V^* \mid \phi(W) = \{0\} \right\}$$

all ϕ that annihilate W

Note $W \subseteq V$ but $W^0 \subseteq V^*$

Another
notation
is W^\perp
see →

Claim W^0 is a subsp of V^* (even though W not nec subsp V)

- $\phi_{V^*} \in W^0$ because obviously $\phi_W(w) = 0_k$

- W^0 is closed because if $\phi, \sigma \in W^0$, then $(a\phi + b\sigma)(w) = a\phi(w) + b\sigma(w) = a\phi(w) + b\sigma(w) = 0 \forall w$

Thm 11.5 $\left. \begin{array}{l} V \text{ fin dim} \\ W \text{ subspace} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \dim(W) + \dim(W^{0*}) = \dim V \\ W^{0*} = W \text{ should say } (W^{0*})^{0*} \text{ better} \end{array}$

Pf. Let $\dim V = n$ and $\dim W = r \leq n$ We want: $\dim W^{0*} = n - r$

Let $\{w_1, \dots, w_r\}$ be a basis for W ; extend to basis for V $\{w_1, \dots, w_r, v_1, \dots, v_{n-r}\}$

Let $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_r, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-r}\}$ be dual basis (that exists by Thm 11.1)

Then $\sigma_i(w_j) = 0$ by design, so each σ_i annihilates $W \Rightarrow \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-r}\} \subset W^{0*}$

claim $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-r}\}$ is, in fact, a basis for W^{0*}

(a) LI because $\{\sigma_i\}$ is part of basis $\{w_1, \dots, w_r, v_1, \dots, v_{n-r}\}$

(b) Span: choose arb $\theta \in W^{0*}$

$$\theta(\cdot) = \sum_0^n \underbrace{\theta(w_i)}_{\Theta(w_i)} \phi_i(\cdot) + \sum_{n-r}^n \underbrace{\theta(v_j)}_{\Theta(v_j)} \sigma_j(\cdot) = \sum_{n-r}^n \theta(v_j) \sigma_j(\cdot)$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim W^{0*} = n - r = \dim V - \dim W$$

② $(W^{*0})^{*0} = W$

we know $\dim V = n$ so $\dim V^* = n$

W^{*0} is subsp of V^* v.s. so by part ① $\dim V^* - \dim W^{*0} = \dim (W^{*0})^{*0}$

$$\text{so } \dim W = r = n - (n - r) = \dim (W^{*0})^{*0}$$

Now we must show $W \subseteq (W^{*0})^{*0}$ because that will show equality

Let $w \in W$. For any $\phi \in W^{*0}$, $\phi(w) = 0$

But this says the pt eval func δ_w has $\delta_w(\phi) = \phi(w) = 0$ This holds $\forall w \in W$

$\{\delta_w \mid w \in W\} \subseteq (W^{*0})^{*0}$ And by the iso $V \leftrightarrow V^{**}$ $W \subseteq (W^{*0})^{*0}$

Now let $A: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be an $n \times m$ matrix and lets give an interpretation of the homogeneous eq $Ax = 0$ using $\dim W + \dim W^{*0} = \dim V$ recover Rank + Nullity Thm.

So take $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $W := \ker(A)$ (solns to $Ax = 0$)

What is $[\ker(A)]^{*0}$? $\phi \in [\ker(A)]^{*0}$ iff $\phi(w) = 0 \quad \forall w \in W = \ker(A)$

Transpose homog eq: $x^T A^T = 0^T$ The n -dim row vector $x^T = \phi \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$

Thus for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ $x^T A^T y = 0^T y = 0$

But $\{u = A^T y \mid y \in \mathbb{R}^m\} = \text{Image}(A^T)$ so $x \in \ker(A) \Rightarrow x^T \text{ annihilates } \text{Image}(A^T)$

Let $\dim(W) = \dim(\ker(A)) = r$

$$\Rightarrow \dim W + \dim W^{*0} = n \\ r + \dim[\text{Image}(A^T)]^{*0} = n \Rightarrow \dim[\text{Image}(A^T)]^{*0} = n - r$$

cf. ch13
Sheet 6

ASIDE: Many books, such as B&S p301 and Cheney Appl M write the annihilator as W^\perp (5)
I want to show we can identify $W^{*0} \xleftrightarrow{?} W^\perp$ even though they live in different spaces.

Schuam's defines $W^{*0} := \left\{ \underset{\text{all}}{\varphi \in V^*} \mid \varphi(w) = 0 \forall w \in W \right\}$
 $\subseteq V^*$

and $W^\perp := \left\{ \underset{\text{all}}{v \in V} \mid \langle w, v \rangle = 0 \forall w \in W \right\}$

But by the "Reisz Rep Thm": For any $\varphi \in V^*$ there is a uniq vector $v_\varphi \in V \ni \varphi(\cdot) = \langle \cdot, v_\varphi \rangle$

Let me write $R: \varphi \mapsto v_\varphi$ $R: V^* \rightarrow V$
 $\varphi \mapsto v_\varphi$

$W^{*0} \subseteq R(W^\perp)$: $\varphi \in W^{*0} \Rightarrow \langle w, v_\varphi \rangle = 0 \forall w \in W \Rightarrow v_\varphi \in W^\perp$

$R(W^{*0}) \supseteq W^\perp$: $g \in W^\perp \Rightarrow \langle w, g \rangle = 0 \forall w \in W \Rightarrow$
 $\varphi_g(\cdot) = \langle \cdot, g \rangle \in W^{*0}$

But in fact we already know this from the existence of dual basis
 $\text{H}: V \rightarrow V^*$
 $v = \sum a_i e_i \mapsto \sum a_i \varphi_i = \varphi$

we know
 an iso exists
 not nec same one!

ASIDE 2 Strang LAAIA has Fund Thm of LA II

$A: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$
 matrix

$$\ker(A) = \left[\text{Im}(A^T) \right]^\perp$$

$$\mathbb{R}^n = \ker(A) \oplus \frac{\ker(A)}{\text{Im}(A^T)}$$

$$\mathbb{R}^m = \ker(A^T) \oplus \text{Im}(A)$$

252

► Transpose of a Linear Map (pullback!)

Let E be a vs with $\{e\}$ the fixed basis we are working with. Same for F and $\{f\}$.

Let $T: E \rightarrow F$ be a linear map and $\beta: F \rightarrow K$ be linear final $\beta \in F^*$

We define pullback $T^* \beta := \beta \circ T$

Thus the existence of T induces the existence of T^*

Thm 11.6 T^* is linear

Pf. Let $\varphi, \sigma \in F^*$ Then $T^*(a\varphi + b\sigma) = (a\varphi + b\sigma) \circ T$
 $= a(\varphi \circ T) + b(\sigma \circ T)$
 $= aT^*\varphi + bT^*\sigma \quad \square$

Thm (pnb 11.15) $\ker(T^*) = \left[\text{Im}(T) \right]^{*0}$ a.k.a. $\left[\text{Im}(T) \right]^\perp$

Pf. Show $\ker(T^*) \subseteq \left[\text{Im}(T) \right]^{*0}$: Let $\varphi \in \ker(T^*) \Rightarrow T^*\varphi = \varphi \circ T = 0$
 If $u \in \text{Im}(T)$ then $u = T(v)$ for some v . Then $\varphi(u) = (\varphi \circ T)(v) = 0$
 so we have $\varphi(u) = 0 \forall u \in \text{Im}(T) \Rightarrow \varphi \in \left[\text{Im}(T) \right]^{*0}$

Show $\ker(T^*) \supseteq \left[\text{Im}(T) \right]^{*0}$: Let $\sigma \in \left[\text{Im}(T) \right]^{*0} \Rightarrow \sigma(\text{Im}(T)) = \{0\}$
 Then $\forall v \in V (T^*\sigma)(v) = (\sigma \circ T)(v) = \sigma(T(v)) = 0$ since $T(v) \in \text{Im}(T)$
 This holds $\forall v$ so $T^*\sigma = 0 \Rightarrow \sigma \in \ker(T^*) \quad \square$

Thm (prob 11.38)

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} V \text{ fin dim} \\ T: V \rightarrow U \\ V^* \xleftarrow{T^*} U^* \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \text{Im}(T^*) = [\ker(T)]^{*0} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{This corresponds to} \\ \text{Strang's } \text{Im}(A^T) = (\ker(A))^+ \end{array}$$

Pf. (\subseteq) $\beta = T^* \varphi = \varphi \circ T$ we want $\beta \in [\ker(T)]^{*0}$

i.e. $\beta(w) = 0_k$ if $w \in \ker(T)$

So for any $v \in V$ $\beta(v) = \varphi(Tv)$

if $v = w \in \ker(T)$ then $\beta(w) = \varphi(T(w)) = \varphi(0_v) = 0_k \Rightarrow \beta \in [\ker(T)]^{*0}$

(\supseteq) $\sigma \in (\ker(T))^{*0}$

so if $w \in \ker(T)$, $\sigma(w) = 0$

we want: $\sigma \in \text{Im}(T^*)$

i.e. $\exists \beta \in U^*$ where $T^* \beta = \sigma$
 $\beta \circ T = \sigma$

Mayb show dimensions are equal?

like sheet 6 ch 13

Prob 11.16 V, U fin dim $\left. \begin{array}{l} \\ T: V \rightarrow U \text{ linear} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \text{rank}(T) = \text{rank}(T^*)$ where $\text{rank}(T) := \dim(\text{Im}(T))$

Pf. Let $\dim V = n$ $\text{rank}(T) = r$
 $\dim U = m$

From Thm 11.5 $\dim W + \dim W^{*0} = \dim U$ so let $W := \text{Im}(T)$
 $\Rightarrow \dim(\text{Im}(T))^{*0} = \dim U - \dim(\text{Im}(T))$
 $= m - \text{rank}(T) = m - r$

By Prob 11.15 sheet 5 $\ker(T^*) = [\text{Im}(T)]^{*0}$
 $\Rightarrow \underbrace{\dim(\ker(T^*))}_{\text{nullity}(T^*)} = \dim[\text{Im}(T)]^{*0} = m - r$

Apply Rank + Nullity Thm to T^*

$\text{rank}(T^*) + \text{nullity}(T^*) = \dim U^*$ $\Rightarrow \text{rank}(T^*) = m - (m - r) = r = \text{rank}(T)$ \square

(7)

Thm 11.7 Given $\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{T} & F \\ \{\tilde{e}\} & & \{f\} \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\ K^n & \xrightarrow{A} & K^m \end{array}$

 $\Rightarrow \begin{array}{ccc} \{\tilde{e}\} & \xleftarrow{T^*} & \{f\} \\ E^* & & F^* \\ \partial_{\tilde{e}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \partial_f \\ K^n & \xleftarrow{A^T} & K^m \end{array}$

That is, if $[T]_e^f = A$
then $[T^*]_{\tilde{e}}^{\tilde{f}} = A^T$

Before giving the pf of this thm, let's warm up and give the construction pf of A , which is the left diagram. This is ch 7 p.156

Let E have basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and F has $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$. What is downstairs representation of T : $[T]_e^f$ denoted as A .

Let $v = \sum n^i e_i$ be arb

Then $T(v) = T(\sum n^i e_i) = \sum n^i T(e_i)$. so we must find $T(e_i)$, $\forall i = 1, \dots, n$

$T(e_i)$ must be LC of $\{f\}$: $T(e_i) = \{f_1, \dots, f_m\} \begin{bmatrix} a_{i1} \\ a_{i2} \\ \vdots \\ a_{im} \end{bmatrix} = \{f\} \bar{A}_i$ i th col of matrix A

$$\Rightarrow T(v) = \sum n^i T(e_i) = n^1 \{f\} \bar{A}_1 + \dots + n^n \{f\} \bar{A}_n = \{f_1, \dots, f_m\} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ A_1 & A_2 & \dots & A_n \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n^1 \\ n^2 \\ \vdots \\ n^n \end{bmatrix}$$

A $[v]_e$

NOW for the pf at hand: what is the induced matrix $[T]_{\tilde{e}}^{\tilde{f}}$ when we have the dual bases

choose any $\beta \in F^*$. Then $\beta = \sum \beta^i \tilde{f}_i$ because it is just a v.s.

I'm not doing anything special with indices or "row vectors" here

$$(T^* \beta)(v) = T^*(\sum \beta^i \tilde{f}_i)(v) = (\sum \beta^i T^* \tilde{f}_i)(v) \quad \text{and we know this must be } T^* \beta = \sum \lambda^i \tilde{e}_i$$

Consider $(T^* \tilde{f}_i)(v) = \tilde{f}_i(T(v))$

= $\tilde{f}_i(\{f\} A v_e)$ from warm up above

$$= \tilde{f}_i(n^1 \sum_j f_j A_{j1}^i + n^2 \sum_j f_j A_{j2}^i + n^3 \sum_j f_j A_{j3}^i)$$

$$= n^1 A_{11}^i + n^2 A_{21}^i + n^3 A_{31}^i \quad \text{since dual basis, only } j=i \text{ term survive}$$

$$= \tilde{e}_i(v) A_{11}^i + \tilde{e}_i(v) A_{21}^i + \tilde{e}_i(v) A_{31}^i \quad \tilde{e}_i(v) = n^i \text{ by Lemma 3 sheet ②}$$

$$= (\{\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2, \tilde{e}_3\} \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}^i \\ A_{21}^i \\ A_{31}^i \end{bmatrix})(v)$$

row i of $A = \text{col } i \text{ of } A^T$

Thus $T^*(\sum \beta^i \tilde{f}_i) = \beta_1 T^* \tilde{f}_1 + \beta_2 T^* \tilde{f}_2 + \beta_3 T^* \tilde{f}_3$

$$= \{\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2, \tilde{e}_3\} \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}^1 \\ A_{21}^2 \\ A_{31}^3 \end{bmatrix} \beta_1 + \{\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2, \tilde{e}_3\} \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}^2 \\ A_{21}^2 \\ A_{31}^2 \end{bmatrix} \beta_2 + \{\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2, \tilde{e}_3\} \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}^3 \\ A_{21}^3 \\ A_{31}^3 \end{bmatrix} \beta_3$$

$$= \{\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2, \tilde{e}_3\} A^T \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^1 \\ \lambda^2 \\ \lambda^3 \end{bmatrix} = A^T \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Downstairs co-ords

□